

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,066	11/26/2003	Thomas W. Nehl	DP-310921	8652
22851 75	590 06/15/2005		EXAM	INER
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			SICONOLFI, ROBERT	
M/C 480-410-202 PO BOX 5052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 48	3007		3683	

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,066	NEHL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Robert A. Siconolfi	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·	·				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attack == and(a)	·	,				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P. 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/723,066 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Amendment filed on 3/18/05 has been received.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamilton et al (U. S. Patent no. 6,502,837).

Dampers 212, local controllers 220, sensor 190, central controller (CCM) 216, damper control component (solenoid coil 80). Local controller calculates the rebound and compression forces based on data supplied by the CCM and other factors such as vehicle load. CCM controls spring rate and adjusts for temperature (column 12 lines 3-9). CCM can also override local control through selection of the ride style which adjusts the gains on each of the separate parameters provided to the local controller (see column 9 lines 19-35).

Regarding claim 9, sensor 190 measures data indicative of relative velocity. Relative velocity is specifically calculated from this information (see figure 12 802).

Application/Control Number: 10/723,066 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ekquist et al (U. S. Patent no. 5,653,315).

Ekquist discloses: Dampers 10, local controllers 34, sensor 258 see column 4 lines 22-29

Ekquist does not disclose mounting the local controller on the damper itself. Ekquist discloses mounting the local controller in any appropriate location in the vehicle (see column 10 lines 47-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the controller on the damper as it eliminates the need for exposed communication lines (such as cable 92). This allows the connection to be enclosed inside the housing which eliminates water and dust which can degrade the wire and cause failure.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8 and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,066

Art Unit: 3683

7. Applicant's arguments filed 3/18/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the local controllers of Hamilton are not independent to carry out at least one local suspension control function (which applicants have defined to include the energization of the coil). The examiner disagrees. Applicants arguments are more specific than their claims. Applicants argue that by supplying data from the central controller, the local controller is therefore not independent from the central controller. By this line of reasoning, the local controllers of the instant invention are not independent. The local controllers of the instant invention must receive data involving ride setting as disclosed in the specification.

Page 4

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 571-272-7124. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (571) 272-7099. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3683